

The results of the property and the second

。 1000 加加坡 \$866 阿尔西亚山西亚

1.1.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

·, a.

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 Wednington, Dec. 2020.

Solve of the control of the

09/250061		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
97/00000	ann tis Kinskolus. Her ot degler it med fis ent i Solf fil soli ent i regioneran i dimension s [®] a	e de professione de la distribución de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya La confessione de la companya de la	Theraphorum and the source was subsected as a con- forcing of the contract of the source and the source of the source of the sou	errovov Karalostor <u>18</u> 80a – 1
A compared the compared	and an energy of the state of t	and the source of a major of the	EXAMINER	
n in haif i engga and pagail ag ag magna at Salah n	er Allego Lang (1995) a Alea Pearly	क्रिक्स क्षाप्त है कि कार्य की बिक्र में हैं के अपने की अधिकार है। इ.स. १९ अपने बहुतक स्थाप कि में के प्राप्त के स्वरूप के अधिकार है।	M. Borch	en e
erf - english of the same districts about their	्र स्टूलिस्कारीक अंग्रेस्ट १८८८ व्यक्ति व्यक्तिस्था स	di se mesus sulline konnecepti asan merek en ny	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 27.	
	INTE	A TANKA SAN AND AND AND AND DATI RVIEW SUMMARY		
participants (applicant, applicant)	t's representative, PTO perso	o tradición se il descripción de la delición de la caldida de significación de la caldida de la caldida de la c principle de la caldida de la	la estru en Majar (estres a revier i cue trouver en estre Estres executables en estres de la travallación (e. 1916).	albana Program
Milberry	A salayah asik walami kasibas	ra en egentue <mark>(4)</mark> en tamp tamp dagem plitade op betyden in dagem	anger in hear of the property of	5 g 15
te of Interview.	O / O S	ant Dapplicant's representative)	The Artist Market Transfer to the transfer and a tipe.	agdhatar ar sata
			riteria, kieri autas raugusti ir etitoro oli piido desen oli oli oli oli tita ritako eta koli saloi oli oli oli	
nibit shown or demonstration cor	nauctea: ∟Yes ∟No If y	res, priet description:		
				ا اد ال <mark>مستعم</mark>
reement was reached. w	vas not reached.	· 人类特	tite og en er stad er er er er til til statenske om er Litter fillstagene er er er erstakkene til som er til Stad og er	in in se Airtea
im(s) discussed:	control Personal Section	n i sa an magagara wataka sa sa barsa sa basa an	<u> — Probio Problem (Bernary de Marie a entral a d</u> — problem (Gentland de la Republica de La Rep	
استراجه ومان ومقيده بدائر والمبق والمحاني الراجين المينورات	The toll of the second	🔀 en en son en la larración (sur el colo de Calibration el cul	te englesté est tre vereinnen en retarrationer et le est	<u> </u>
ntification of prior art discussed:		i e	n eth de deste de dem modelle e m	S 15.
entification of prior art discussed:	•			
entification of prior art discussed.			Andies -	_
·	f what was agreed to if an agr		Andies -	<u></u>
	f what was agreed to if an agr		Andies -	-01
	1 1 5-1		Andies -	f oj
	1 1 5-1		Andies -	f of es
·	1 1 5-1	eement was reached, or any other commal application of the control	ents: Applicant on parent conjugat latter is	6 6 6 6
	1 1 5-1		ents: Applicant on parent conjugat latter is	es h
scription of the general nature of mainly flag Fhallis & ha Fubible with fuller description, if necessary, a st be attached. Also, where no conceptor.	fent was a a mod copy of the amendments which	reement was reached, or any other commal application of the control of the contro	ents: Applicant an parent Conjugat Catherine Conjugat Conjugat Catherine Conjugat Conj	f es h
scription of the general nature of works fina Finales & Maria	fect was a live a mod instant and proposed the amendment copy of the amendments which and to provide a separate reco	reement was reached, or any other command application of the substance of the interview.	ents: Applicant ion parent conjugat latter is latter is would render the claims allowable allable; a summary thereof must be	f of es
scription of the general nature of works final f	A CORIGINA LA CORIGINA LA CORPORA LA CO	reement was reached, or any other commal application of the control of the contro	ents: Applicant is parent conjugat latter is latter is would render the claims allowable railable; a summary thereof must be 10.00 SE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTI 13.04). If a response to the last Offi 10.05 FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	es de la companya de
scription of the general nature of works final f	Actinging	reement was reached, or any other communication of the substance of the interview. A property of the substance of the interview. The interview of the interview of the substance of the interview of the substance of the interview of the substance of the interview of contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO support the substance of the substance of the interview of the substance of the interview of the substance of the substance of the interview of the substance of the sub	ents: Applicant Surply Cand Conyligat Conyligat Latter S Lotter S Lott	of es lu en
scription of the general nature of Maches Juan Juan Juan Juan Juan Juan Juan Juan	A COLIGIAN A COLIGIAN A COLOGIA A COLOGIA	reement was reached, or any other communication and application of the communication and attachments) reflects a complete rest of office action, and since the claims are as office action. Applicant is not relieved to the control of the claims are as office action. Applicant is not relieved to the claims are as office action. Applicant is not relieved to the claims are as office action. Applicant is not relieved.	ents: Applicant Surply Cand Conyligat Conyligat Latter S Lotter S Lott	of es lu en

Manual 1 Patent Examining Procedure, S ction,713.04 Substance f Interview must Be Made of Rec rd

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face to face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes or is supplemented by the applicable or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary
 Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response; whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.